From: Lehman, David A.

Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2006 1:37 PM

To: Gasvoda, Kevin; Bash-Polley, Stacy; Swenson, Michael; Birnbaum, Josh; Ostrem, Peter L;

Rosenblum, David J.

Cc: Sparks, Daniel L; Schwartz, Harvey; Pinkos, Steve; Radtke, Lorin; Martin, Nicole;

Cornacchia, Thomas

Subject: RE: Mezz Risk

We have selectively been showing tranche pricing out on the ABX1 BBB- + ABX2 BBB- (50%/50%) portfolio.

Below is where we are bidding protection in tranched format:

40-100% Tranche -- 30bps running
 25-40% Tranche -- 80bps running
 20-25% Tranche -- 110bps running
 15-20% Tranche -- 250bps running

10-15% Tranche
 5-10% Tranche
 0-5% Tranche
 500bps running, 600bps upfront (~650 running)
 500bps running, 1750bps upfront (~950 running)
 500bps running, 5000bps upfront (~2050 running)

- Non-Callable

Sequential pay-down

- Credit events: Writedown and FTP prin (i.e. realized losses)

We will continue to coordinate with the CDO group on the above pricing as well as the below accounts so our tranche efforts do not impede the marketing of Hudson Mezz II.

Hudson Mezz below....

Hudson Mezzanine Funding, 2006-2, Ltd. -- Price Guidance(144a/RegS) Lead Manager & Sole Bookrunner: Goldman, Sachs & Co. Liquidation Agent: Goldman, Sachs & Co. \$400mm Static Mezzanine Structured Product CDO

| Class | Size (Smm) | %Dea1 | Mdy/S&P | WAL (y) | Init OC | Px Guidance |
|---------|------------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|--------------|
| S | [7.9] | N/A | Aaa/AAA | 2.9 | N/A | Not Offered |
| A-1 | 240.0 | 60.0% | Aaa/AAA | 4.1 | 166.7% | Call Desk |
| A-2 | 46.0 | 11.5% | Aaa/AAA | 4.5 | 139.9% | ImL+60a |
| B | 56.0 | 14.0% | Aa2/AA+ | 4.9 | 117.0% | ImL+75a |
| C | 20.0 | 5.0% | A2/A+ | 5.1 | 110.5% | 1mL+250a |
| D | 18.0 | 4.5% | Baa1/BBB+ | 4.8 | 105.3% | 1mL+1ow 500s |
| E | 4.0 | 1.0% | Baa3/BBB- | 5.4 | 104.2% | 1mL+800a |
| Inc. No | tes 15.0 | 4.0% | NR | N/A | N/A | N/A |

From: Gasvoda, Kevin

Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2006 10:08 AM

To: Bash-Polley, Stacy; Swenson, Michael; Lehman, David A.; Birnbaum, Josh; Ostrem, Peter L; Rosenblum, David J.

Cc: Sparks, Daniel L; Schwartz, Harvey; Pinkos, Steve; Radtke, Lorin; Martin, Nicole; Cornacchia, Thomas

Subject: RE: Mezz Risk

Makes sense to me

From: Bash-Polley, Stacy

Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2006 9:01 AM

To: Swenson, Michael; Lehman, David A.; Blrnbaum, Josh; Ostrem, Peter L; Rosenblum, David J.; Gasvoda, Kevin Sparks, Daniel L; Schwartz, Harvey; Pinkos, Steve; Radtke, Lorin; Martin, Nicole; Cornacchia, Thomas

Subject: Mezz Risk

Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations

EXHIBIT #151

| = Redacted by the | Permanent |
|-------------------|----------------|
| Subcommittee on | Investigations |

We have been thinking collectively as a group about how to help move some of the risk. While we have made great progress moving the tail risks-ssr and equity -we think it is critical to focus on the mezz risk that has been built up over the past few months. Both through sequential abacus ssr/equ trades and the hudson deals (current and prior). We also recognize the pipeline coming in 07 and want to make sure we have a game plan before the focus shifts to moving the new issues.

While many slsple have talked to their clients abt mezz risk it is not clear that they have current pricing in hand-to make the newer case on break evens etc.. There is a lot of price points in the market tranche indications, Hudson, other dealers' sequential ABX tranches).. So we need to make sure we arm slspeople with our pricing-and have them focus on the more difficult positions—clearly given where pricing has moved—we should be able to tell a different story. Given some of the feedback we have received so far-it seems that cdo's maybe the best target for moving some of this risk but clearly in limited size (and timing right now not ideal). We would like to appeal to clients that can possibly do larger size at a level that would be attractive when you take into consideration the size of risk we could move. Clearly the ideal trade is to buy protection on ABX...and the obvious targets at these levels are those who are currently short and could take some risk off, (ie

Here are a few clients in the US we think make sense to target- We would like to arm the salespple with the pricing matrix—a few breakevens- and make sure they come back with specific feedback,—at a miniumum we will learn a bit more abt appetite and best case—print some trades. We are sure some of these conversations have happened-but we should make sure the right price was presented in the disucssion – feel free to comment. If it is ok we want to start making the targeted calls

Redacted By The
Permanent Subcommittee
on Investigations